

Hydrate Control – Still a Majo Flow Assurance Challenge

By Xiaoyun Li, Specialist at Statoil R&T FA Flow Assurance Lecture at NTNU, 25th January 2016

Contents

- Introduction on gas hydrates
- Hydrates as a flow assurance challenge
- Traditional hydrate control methods
- Safe operations in hydrate domain
- Hydrate plug detection and remediation
- Hydrate control in Statoil









What are gas hydrates

- Free water
- Small gas molecules
 - > C1, C2, C3, I-C4, CO₂, H₂S, N₂
- High pressure
- Low temperature









Gas content in gas hydrates





Gas hydrates – both a problem & an opportunity

A major FA challenge/issue for gas/oil industry

- High field development and operational costs
- Gas hydrate in nature/sediments
 - A new energy resource with huge potential several field tests
 - Potential geo-hazard due to thermal instability in hydrate bearing sediments
- As a carrier medium for natural gas transport/storage
- Many new applications of gas hydrates, e.g.:
 - Flue/exhaust gas separation (CO₂ capture) and CO₂ storage
 - Water purification (desalination of sea water)







Natural occurring gas hydrates



Source : Johnson 2011, Collett 2009

The Gas-hydrate Resource Pyramid



- Increasing production challenges
- Likely decreasing percent recovery







Natural occurring gas hydrates





Source : Johnson 2011, Collett 2009

Gas hydrates as a flow assurance challenge





Hydrate curve and subcooling





Hydrate avoidance: thermodynamic inhibitors



- THI shifts hydrate curve to the left i.e. lower hydrate equilibrium temperature
- Typical thermodynamic inhibitors: mono-ethylene glycol/MEG, salts, ethanol, methanol
- Typical THI concentrations applied: ~30-60 wt% in water



Heating based hydrate prevention methods

Direct electrical heating (DEH)

Bundles and Pipe-in-pipe





Needs for less conservative hydrate control strategy

- Conventional hydrate control strategy is expensive
 - Based on: inside hydrate domain = hydrate plugging/problem
 - Complete hydrate avoidance
- Constant needs to improve hydrate control strategy:
 - Reduce CAPEX of field development (enabler for certain cases)
 - Reduce chemical consumption and OPEX
 - Simplify procedures
 - Accelerate production









Step change of hydrate control strategy



Safe operations in hydrate domain – Main methods

• Hydrate kinetics – delayed formation

- Injection of KHI (kinetic hydrate inhibitor)
- KHI effect of natural surfactants in crude
- No visible hydrates
- Have time-limited operation window
- Function from low to medium subcooling
- Hydrate slurry transport
 - Injection of AA (anti-agglomerant)
 - AA effect of natural surfactants in crude
 - Under-inhibition of THI (e.g. MEG, ethanol)
 - Hydrates as fine slurry particles
 - More water-cut dependent
 - Function from low to high subcooling
 - Not time-limited

Both green KHI & AA have been developed now!





Transportable hydrate slurry



LDHI - Kinetic hydrate inhibitors (KHI)

- Normally water soluble polymers
- Prevent/delay hydrate nucleation and growth for certain time
 - Water residence time important
 - Normally for short flowlines and shut-ins (one to several days)
 - Best KHIs prevent hydrates at 10-12 °C subcoolings for 1-2 days
 - Can prevent hydrate formation for many days at lower subcoolings
 - Can be combined with MEG to handle higher subcoolings
- Typical dosing range: 0.25 5 vol% wrt water
- · Generally no visible hydrates in the system
- Work on gas/water and gas/oil/water systems
- No water cut limit





LDHI - Anti-agglomerants (AA)

- Contain head-groups adsorbing on hydrate crystal surfaces
- Contain oil-soluble tails dragging hydrate particles into oil phase
- Form hydrate-in-oil dispersions (transportable slurry particles)
 - Best AAs can work at 15-17°C subcoolings
 - Can be combined with MEG to handle higher subcoolings
 - Normally need an oil phase to work properly
 - Work normally up to 40% water-cut
- Typical dosing range: 0.3 3 vol% wrt water
- Performance independent of water residence time
 - Can be used for long shut-ins and long flowlines





Plugging vs non-plugging hydrates









Plugging hydrates





Hydrate plug detection & remediation

Important issues:

- Reliable plug detection/localization methods
- Plug remediation efficiency
- Safety aspect

Applicability and efficiency of remediation methods depend on plug location!



3D γ -ray measurements showing even hydrate depositions at the pipe wall









Plug melting by depressurization





Plug seen through a thermo-camera

- during depressurization





Large differential pressure => May cause projectiles (loosen plugs)!









Hydrate Cannon experiments





Plug melting by heating





Hydrate control in Statoil

- Statoil has over the past two decades had large efforts within hydrate control. Hydrate control -Statoil's distinctive technology portfolio.
- Large research projects and extensive operational experiences have lifted Statoil to the forefront with cutting edge hydrate control solutions.
- Statoil is world's largest subsea operator with over 500 wells and in excess of 100 production and injection flowlines. This has provided extensive first hand experiences on hydrate control issues.
- Statoil is a technology driven company with short ways to implement new hydrate control solutions.
- We continuously improve our operational strategies based on increased understanding on hydrate issues.





Hydrate control methods





Thank you!

questions/comments?

Historical flashback on hydrate control



Continuous R&D work to enhance the understanding of hydrates and define improved solutions

2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 2014

Large efforts to understand hydrate properties and behavior Hydrodynamics, operation conditions and fluid properties are important for plugging risk assessment







Hydrate management

concept introduced and gradually implemented

- Formation kinetics (induction time)
- Hydrate transportability
- Green chemicals
- Plugging risk assessment
- · Risk based and tailor made

Continuous improvements – pushing the limits





Statoil is a pioneer at Direct Electrical Heating of Pipelines

- Primarily for hydrate control purposes
- Installed on 7 Statoil operated fields with multiple pipelines
 - Operational flexibility "push the button"
 - Rapid start-up after shutdowns
 - No need for depressurization
 - End zones still need additional hydrate control measures
- · Uniform heat input along the line
- Enables "single pipeline" tie-back
 - Tyrihans: 4 templates on a 43 km pipeline
 - Morvin: 2 templates on a 20 km pipeline
 - Fossekall-Dompap: 3 templates on a 26 km pipeline
- Developing new wet insulation materials
- DEH also recently selected by other operators



Plug melting by direct heating:

- Extensive studies have been performed at Statoil
- A comprehensive & rigorous mathematical model developed
- Guidelines and procedures developed



Natural gas hydrates – a potential new energy resource





[Y.F. Makogon et al. / Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 56 (2007) 14–31]

Estimated global resources in natural gas hydrates:

- From at least 100,000 TCF [source: Boswell & Collett, 2011]
- Global energy needs for the next 1,000 years

