## Flow assurance for Åsgard subsea compression





## Content

- What is Flow Assurance?
- How do we get multiphase flow compositions?
- Multiphase flow challenges
- Åsgard subsea compression surge waves and hydrate incident





## Flow Assurance: **Secure** and **optimize** transport of oil and gas in multiphase pipeline systems





### Why multiphase flow?





### Multipcomponent – Gas reservoir





# Phase envelope and P, T conditions from reservoir to platform (oil field)





## Pressure drop from reservoir to platform



Fluid	Effective density	Heigth	Dpgrav
	[kg/m3]	[m]	[bar]
Gas	80	2000	16
50% gas, 50% oil	440	2000	86
Oil	800	2000	157



## Multiphase flow challenges (1)

- Pressure drop: How much do we get out of the reservoir?
- Liquid accumulation in Gas Condensate flowlines







## Multiphase flow challenges (2)

- Surge and slug flow
  - Flooding of separators
  - Slugcatchers



Troll slugcatcher





3 phase surge waves arriving at ÅSGB from 20", 46 km long Y-102 flowline

### Measured surge wave instabilities





MIKKE

ÅSG B

MIDGARD



01:12



13:12

19:12

24 hour period [HH:MM]

07:12

## Prediction of surge origin and liquid re-distribution during surging









## Summary - Surge wave instabilities

- FlowManager reproduce surge waves instabilities:
  - Water surges are predicted to come from the riser
  - Condensate surges are predicted to come from the flowline
- Field data shows:
  - No blockage at riser base
  - Gas and condensate arrives continuously topside
  - Water accumulates and releases
  - This is not a "riser slugging" phenomena
- This phenomenon occurs also in inclined flowlines
  - ref: Landsverk et al. Multiphase flow behavior at Snøhvit, Cannes 2009



## Åsgard Flow Assurance Simulator (FAS)





# Discover potential problems applying different FAS execution modes

- Real Time for online monitoring
  - Current state of the production system
- Look-Ahead early warning system
  - Predictions into near future



- What-If simulator
  - Operation and dynamic process understanding
  - Planning
  - Analyzes





## Minor hydrate incident – slurry at Inlet cooler (1)



### Predicted MEG mass fraction at A



### Switch MEG injection from A to B

- Mikkel B well was restarted after a long shutin period
- MEG injection moved from A-template to B-template
- Next day, a sudden pressure increase over the inlet cooler was experienced
- Handled by reduced compressor speed and MEG injection at the Inlet cooler
- After this incident an alarm based on the FAS prediction of MEG mass fraction has been implemented



### FAS predictions vs field meas. during a system restart



Pressure drop: ÅSC-ÅSGB Export flowline pressure drop ---- Model ---- Measured 35 30 1 mm 25 20 15 [bar] 10 5 0 -5 -10 20-Sep 21-Sep 25-Sep 26-Sep 19-Sep 22-Sep 23-Sep 24-Sep One week periode

#### ÅSGB gas rate



### ÅSGB condensate rate



#### ÅSGB water/MEG rate





## Summary – FAS for ÅSC

- FAS used for operational planning and online monitoring
- Alarm at ÅSGB on predicted MEG fraction in flowline is implemented
- Good agreement between FAS and measurements are seen for pressure drop in flow lines and for start-up surges



## Content

✓What is Flow Assurance?

- ✓ How do we get multiphase flow compositions?
- ✓ Multiphase flow challenges
- ✓ Åsgard subsea compression surge waves and hydrate control

## Thank you!

