

# Basic Concepts in Decline Curve Analysis

By Mike Fetkovich

## Table of Contents

[Tape 1](#) Click here to watch the entire Fetkovich Course from beginning to end of Tape 1. 2 h 10 m 9 s

Subject Title	Video File Name	Time
<b>Introduction and Agenda</b>		
Introduction	<a href="#">Introduction.wmv</a>	2 m 8 s
Summary of Presentation Agenda	<a href="#">Summary of Presentation Agenda.wmv</a>	3 m 38 s
<b>Historical Background of Rate-Time Analysis</b>		
B value never $> 1$	<a href="#">HBR_B Value.wmv</a>	8 m 15 s
Physical basis for Arps Equations	<a href="#">HBR_ArpsEq.wmv</a>	2 m 56 s
Reference to M. Muskat	<a href="#">HBR_Muskat.wmv</a>	3 m 10 s
IOR Projects Problem when primary forecasted using a $b=0$ . Overstates IOR	<a href="#">HBR_IOR Projects.wmv</a>	13 m 6 s
Wortham Field – Full Water Drive $b=.5$	<a href="#">HBR_Wortham Field.wmv</a>	3 m 35 s
Woodbine Sand – Field Overlays	<a href="#">HBR_WoodbineOverlays.wmv</a>	2 m 24 s
<b>Gas Well Rate-Time Analysis</b>		
Begin Rate-Time analysis at Start Depletion	<a href="#">GWRTA_Begin RTA.wmv</a>	9 m 3 s
2 Basic Equations – Backpressure and material balance equation	<a href="#">GWRTA_2 Basic Equations.wmv</a>	1 m 52 s
Backpressure Curves – Gas Wells	<a href="#">GWRTA_BackpressureCurves.wmv</a>	0 m 42 s
Gas Well Decline Equations	<a href="#">GWRTA_GasWellDeclineEquations.wmv</a>	2 m 18 s
Backpressure slope $n=.5$ implies $b$ to close to 0. Interested in slope of wellhead deliverability curve in setting value of $b$	<a href="#">GWRTA_BackpressureSlope.wmv</a>	10 m 43 s
Definition of $D_i$ for Gas Wells	<a href="#">GWRTA_Definition Of Di.wmv</a>	6 m 10 s
Gas Well $b$ values in terms of backpressure slopes	<a href="#">GWRTA_Gas Well b values.wmv</a>	11 m 28 s
Reference to R.V. Smith	<a href="#">GWRTA_RV Smith.wmv</a>	2 m 32 s
Real High Backpressure with $N=.5$ can give $b<0$	<a href="#">GWRTA_RealHighBackpressure.wmv</a>	1 m 14 s
Derivation of the Rate Cum. Equation	<a href="#">GWRTA_Derivation.wmv</a>	3 m 40 s

Using the Equations one can exactly match Arps empirically derived equations	<a href="#">GWRTA_UsingEquationsMatchArps.wmv</a>	1 m 35 s
--	---	----------

### Oil Well Rate-Time Analysis

Calculation of $NP_i = OOIP \times \text{Recovery factor}$	<a href="#">OWRTA_Calculation of NPi.wmv</a>	4 m 30 s
Oil Well Inflow Performance	<a href="#">OWRTA_OWInflowPerformance.wmv</a>	4 m 15 s
Oil Well Backpressure Curves	<a href="#">OWRTA_OWBackpressureCurves.wmv</a>	3 m 37 s

**[Tape 2](#)** Click here to watch the entire Fetkovich Course from beginning to end of Tape 2. 2 h 10 m 4 s

Subject Title	Video File Name	Time
<b>Oil Well Rate-Time Analysis (Continued)</b>		
Oil Well with $n=1 \Rightarrow b=.33$ for average rel. perm. For Poor Set of $K_g/K_o b$ may as low as 0.1	<a href="#">OWRTA_n1 to Poor Set. wmv</a>	2 m 28 s
Definition of $D_i$ for Oil Wells	<a href="#">OWRTA_Definition Di.wmv</a>	0 m 40 s
Type Curve for Arp's Rate-Time Equations For Homogeneous reservoir, single layer system the expected $b$ value is never $>.5$ Layered No Crossflow $b$ values range from $.5$ to $1$ As $b$ increases above $.5$ it gives an indication of the ratio of $H_i$ Perm to Low Perm.	<a href="#">OWRTA_Type Curve for Arps.wmv</a>	4 m 4 s
Impact of Choice of $b$ on Development Forecasts	<a href="#">OWRTA_Impact of Choice.wmv</a>	4 m 13 s
Reference to Risk Analysis	<a href="#">OWRTA_Risk Analysis.wmv</a>	4 m 57 s
Recovery Factors of Oil – Reference Cole	<a href="#">OWRTA_Recovery Factors.wmv</a>	2 m 1 s
Impact of Arp's Relative Perms on using 3240	<a href="#">OWRTA_Impact of Arps Rel Perm.wmv</a>	1 m 35 s
Reference to Pseudo-Time & Total Compressibility Plot Data in Real Time – Easier to Forecast	<a href="#">OWRTA_Ref to Pseudo-Time.wmv</a>	2 m 18 s
Clyde Cowden Example, Secondary $b$ Value is same as Primary	<a href="#">OWRTA_Clyde Cowden Example.wmv</a>	3 m 0 s

Constant rate infinite conductivity type curve	<a href="#">OWRTA_Constant rate and turnover.wmv</a>	3 m 23 s
Turnover for constant pressure type curve		
2/4 B24 Type Curve Match	<a href="#">OWRTA_2-4 B24.wmv</a>	2 m 29 s
Basis for Log-Log Typecurve Matching	<a href="#">OWRTA_BasisLogLog.wmv</a>	3 m 57 s
Superposition analysis	<a href="#">OWRTA_Superposition analysis.wmv</a>	2 m 23 s
Transient Rate Spikes	<a href="#">OWRTA_Transient Rate Spikes.wmv</a>	2 m 25 s
Example of Backpressure Change – Thunder Creek	<a href="#">OWRTA_Example of Backpressure - TC.wmv</a>	5 m 6 s
$q_d - t_d$ Type Curve	<a href="#">OWRTA_qd-td Type Curve.wmv</a>	3 m 30 s
North Slope Example Sag Delta	<a href="#">OWRTA_North Slope.wmv</a>	4 m 23 s

### Development of the Fetkovich Type Curve

Composite $QD_d - tD_d$ Type Curve	<a href="#">DFTC_Composite QDd-tDd Type Curve.wmv</a>	4 m 8 s
Arps Example – Arbuckle – Full Water Drive	<a href="#">DFTC_Arps Example Arbuckle FWD.wmv</a>	10 m 47 s
Arps Example for Exponential Decline	<a href="#">DFTC_Arps Example Exponential Decline.wmv</a>	2 m 18 s
Locke - Sawyer Type Curve Single vertical fracture	<a href="#">DFTC_Locke Sawyer Type Curve.wmv</a>	1 m 38 s
Agarwal Example – Gas Well Massive Hydraulic Fracture also comment on spacing	<a href="#">DFTC_Agarwal Example.wmv</a>	4 m 33 s
Carthage – Cotton Valley Example	<a href="#">DFTC_Carthage Cotton Valley.wmv</a>	3 m 24 s
Kansas Low Pressure Gas Well Example	<a href="#">DFTC_Kansas Low Pressure GW.wmv</a>	6 m 18 s
Oklahoma Undersaturated Oil Well Example	<a href="#">DFTC_Oklahoma Undersaturated OW.wmv</a>	1 m 56 s
Ability to Overlay Wells	<a href="#">DFTC_Ability to Overlay Wells.wmv</a>	1 m 15 s
Overlay Fields – California Examples	<a href="#">DFTC_Overlay Fields - California.wmv</a>	8 m 55 s
Overlay of Wells From Thunder Creek - Wyoming need to look at a group of wells and get a consistent answer	<a href="#">DFTC_Overlay of Wells – Thunder Creek.wmv</a>	3 m 3 s
Dual Porosity $Q_d - t_d$ Type Curve	<a href="#">DFTC_Dual Porosity.wmv</a>	13 m 50 s
Middle East Stimulated Well Example Depleting Fractures – Implied – Ans. Transient	<a href="#">DFTC_Mid-East Stimulated Well.wmv</a>	2 m 29 s
Edda 10X Well Example	<a href="#">DFTC_Edda 10X.wmv</a>	2 m 0 s
Question of b Values > Than 1	<a href="#">DFTC_Questions of b Values.wmv</a>	5 m 13 s

**[Tape 3](#)** Click here to watch the entire Fetkovich Course from beginning to end of Tape 3. 2 h 10 m 22 s

<b>Subject Title</b>	<b>Video File Name</b>	<b>Time</b>
<b>Field Examples</b>		
Agarwal's Data Matched to the Van Everdingen & Hurst solution and Vertical Fracture Solution. Quick Forecast didn't need to use a Model	<a href="#">FE_Agarwals Data.wmv</a>	4 m 40 s
Canadian Gas Well Example – Winestock Colpitts Rate Normalization	<a href="#">FE_Canadian GW - Winestock.wmv</a>	6 m 43 s
West Virginia Gas Well Example Constant Pressure & Constant Rate Solution	<a href="#">FE_West Virginia.wmv</a>	19 m 58 s
Cullender Gas Well Example – Back Pressure Deliverability curve & Rate-Time Plot Retrace Orig. $q_d-t_d$ on every new transient	<a href="#">FE_Cullender GWE.wmv</a>	2 m 49 s
Comment on Multiphase Rate Analysis	<a href="#">FE_Comment on MRA.wmv</a>	2 m 23 s
Sooner Trend Field Example – Impact of Well Count	<a href="#">FE_Sooner Trend.wmv</a>	3 m 34 s
San Juan Basin Example – Data Smoothing Example	<a href="#">FE_San Juan Basin Example.wmv</a>	4 m 21 s
San Juan Well Example – Volume Doesn't Change Due to matching on different $r_e/r_w$ 's	<a href="#">FE_San Juan Well Example.wmv</a>	1 m 28 s
San Juan Basin Example 2 – Data Smoothing Can not Determine Volume in Place for Cases with b values > .4	<a href="#">FE_San Juan Basin Example 2.wmv</a>	2 m 14 s
Gentry – McCray Example of $b > 1$	<a href="#">FE_Gentry-McCray.wmv</a>	3 m 29 s
Wattenburg Field Example of $b > 1$	<a href="#">FE_Wattenburg.wmv</a>	2 m 3 s
Gas Well Forecast Affect of Different Skin on a Low Permeability Well	<a href="#">FE_GW Forecast Affect.wmv</a>	2 m 21 s
West Virginia Gas Well Example, b Value Can Impact Calculation of Inplace Volume	<a href="#">FE_West Virginia GWE.wmv</a>	2 m 49 s
Cotton Valley Field Example – Liquid Loading	<a href="#">FE_Cotton Valley.wmv</a>	0 m 55 s
Edda Field Example	<a href="#">FE_Edda Field Example.wmv</a>	9 m 44 s
Closing of Day 1	<a href="#">FE_Closing Day 1.wmv</a>	5 m 10 s

## Layered Reservoir No Crossflow – Depletion Performance

Wall Lease Rate-Time Plot 9 Ft Pay Zone with Layered No Crossflow character	<a href="#">LRNC-DP_Wall Lease Rate-Time.wmv</a>	4 m 19 s
Example of Erroneous Extrapolation of Primary using $b=0$ for Layered No Crossflow System	<a href="#">LRNC-DP_Erroneous Extrapolation.wmv</a>	14 m 55 s

## Red Cave Field Example

Red Cave Field/Reservoir Data	<a href="#">RCFE_Red Cave Field Reservoir Data.wmv</a>	2 m 56 s
Red Cave Semi-Log Plot	<a href="#">RCFE_Semi-Log Plot.wmv</a>	3 m 4 s
Red Cave Log-Log Rate-Time Plot Layered No Crossflow Yields High $b$ Values. Greater the $b$ value the more Hydrocarbon in the Low Perm Layer.	<a href="#">RCFE_Log Log Rate-Time Plot.wmv</a>	0 m 51 s
Red Cave 10 Well Overlay $b = 0.9$	<a href="#">RCFE_10 Well Overlay.wmv</a>	4 m 39 s
No Crossflow – Rate-Time Equation	<a href="#">RCFE_No Crossflow Rate-Time Equations.wmv</a>	3 m 4 s
Effect of Layer Skins For Volume Ratio = 1 and Perm Ratio = 10	<a href="#">RCFE_Effect of Layer Skins.wmv</a>	7 m 16 s
Red Cave p/Z Well H Plot Must Honor Initial Pressure/Z Plot	<a href="#">RCFE_PZ Well H Plot.wmv</a>	5 m 21 s

**Tape 4** Click here to watch the entire Fetkovich Course from beginning to end of Tape 4. 2 h 4 m 19 s

Subject Title	Video File Name	Time
<b>Red Cave Field Example (Continued)</b>		
p/Z vs. Cumulated Prod. Vol. Ratio = 1 and Perm Ratio From 1 to 1000	<a href="#">RCFE_PZ vs Cum Prod.wmv</a>	11 m 34 s
Log-Log Rate-Time Plot for Perm Ratios 3 to 1000	<a href="#">RCFE_Log Log Rate-Time Perm Ratios.wmv</a>	4 m 35 s
Simulated p/Z Plot for a Layered System Perm Ratio 10/1, Vol Ratio of $\frac{1}{2}$	<a href="#">RCFE_Simulated PZ Plot.wmv</a>	7 m 7 s
Table of $b$ values as $f(VR, (0 \text{ MAX})/G_i)$ for Gas	<a href="#">RCFE_Table of b values for Gas.wmv</a>	2 m 58 s
Table of $b$ values as $f(VR, [(0 \text{ MAX})/N]R)$ for Oil	<a href="#">RCFE_Table of b values for Oil.wmv</a>	0 m 47 s

## Oklahoma Hugoton Field Example

Hugoton Gas Field – Cross Section	<a href="#">OHFE_Hugoton Gas Field Cross Section.wmv</a>	4 m 27 s
-----------------------------------	--	----------

Plat Map – Showing Sections Studies	<a href="#">OHFE Plat Map.wmv</a>	1 m 5 s
North – South Cross Section Reference to Risk Analysis	<a href="#">OHFE North–South Cross Section.wmv</a>	4 m 3 s
East – West Cross Section	<a href="#">OHFE East–West Cross Section.wmv</a>	0 m 32 s
Cross Section Generated by Conscientious Operator – Shown at Hearing. Shales	<a href="#">OHFE Cross Section by Operator.wmv</a>	2 m 4 s
Same Operator. North – South Cross Section Well Log With Layer Pressures	<a href="#">OHFE NS Cross Section and Well Logs.wmv</a>	8 m 20 s
BUF No 3 Well Log Expendable Well	<a href="#">OHFE BUF No 3 Well Log.wmv</a>	2 m 19 s
p/Z plot – Mesa Cromwell 1 and Replacement Well No Reserve Addition Cromwell 1 & 3 Backpressure Curves Well Stimulated Equally – Same Deliverability	<a href="#">OHFE PZ plot – Mesa Cromwell 1.wmv</a>	2 m 13 s
Rate-Time Plot and Pressure vs. Time	<a href="#">OHFE Rate-Time Plot.wmv</a>	2 m 27 s
Table of Two Layer Correlating Parameters Need to Get a Large Negative Skin on Low Perm Layer. Differential Depletion Behavior Can Result Simply Due to Volume Contrast Between Layers.	<a href="#">OHFE Table of Two Layer.wmv</a>	2 m 54 s
Gas Material Balance Equations – Two Layer p/Z Plot for Layered System Discussed	<a href="#">OHFE Gas Material Balance Eq.wmv</a>	3 m 33 s
Reference to Stacked Reservoirs – Gulf Coast Layered Systems – Can give long Production Time	<a href="#">OHFE Reference to Stacked Reservoirs.wmv</a>	5 m 15 s
p/Z Plot for Equal Layer Skins, $VR=1/2$	<a href="#">OHFE PZ Plot for Equal layer Skins.wmv</a>	2 m 15 s
p/Z Plot for KR Range 1 to 1000, $VR=1$	<a href="#">OHFE PZ Plot for KR Range 1 to 1000.wmv</a>	1 m 7 s
p/Z Plot Two Layered System $VR=1/2$ , 72 Hr. Shutin	<a href="#">OHFE PZ Plot Two Layered System.wmv</a>	0 m 33 s
p/Z Plot $VR=1$ , $KR=10$ Equal Skin Differential Depletion can be Rate Sensitive	<a href="#">OHFE PZ Plot Equal Skin Differential.wmv</a>	1 m 10 s
Infill Drilling in Layered System Doesn't add Reserves	<a href="#">OHFE Infill Drilling.wmv</a>	2 m 27 s
Abandonment Pressure Question, Economic Limits on Two Layered Systems Need to look at Abandonment Rate	<a href="#">OHFE Abandonment Pressure Question.wmv</a>	3 m 14 s

BUF No 1 Well Effect of Layering On Depletion and Time to Limit	<a href="#">OHFE_BUF 1 Well Effect of Layering.wmv</a>	21 m 47 s
Oklahoma Hugoton Map – Replacement Well Study	<a href="#">OHFE_Replacement Well Study.wmv</a>	2 m 31 s
4 In 1 Plot – Original & Replacement Well gas rate vs. time, p/Z plot, backpressure curve and perforation depths	<a href="#">OHFE_4 In 1 Plot.wmv</a>	1 m 54 s
Another Well – Mobil in Kansas – 4 in 1 Plot	<a href="#">OHFE_Mobil in Kansas 4 In 1 Plot.wmv</a>	2 m 45 s
Another Replacement Well Example	<a href="#">OHFE_Another Replacement Well 1.wmv</a>	1 m 44 s
Another Replacement Well Example 2	<a href="#">OHFE_Another Replacement Well 2.wmv</a>	1 m 35 s
Panhandle Eastern Burris – Center of Field well example	<a href="#">OHFE_Panhandle Eastern Burris.wmv</a>	1 m 0 s
Similar Example From Texas Hugoton	<a href="#">OHFE_Similar Example Texas Hugoton.wmv</a>	1 m 47 s
Conclusion and Summary	<a href="#">OHFE_Conclusion and Summary.wmv</a>	3 m 25 s

**[Tape 5](#)** Click here to watch the entire Fetkovich Course from beginning to end of Tape 5.

<b>Subject Title</b>	<b>Video File Name</b>	<b>Time</b>
<b>Oklahoma Hugoton Field 3D Model Layered No Crossflow Study</b>		
3D Model Grid Oklahoma Hugoton Study	<a href="#">OHF3D_3D Model Grid.wmv</a>	6 m 21 s
Initial Flow After Flow Tests for Wells in Study Area	<a href="#">OHF3D_Initial Flow After Flow Tests.wmv</a>	3 m 17 s
Type Curve Match of Data from 1946 Infinite Conductivity Solution	<a href="#">OHF3D_Type Curve Match.wmv</a>	1 m 32 s
Superposition Analysis – Flow After Flow Test Layered Systems Give Backpressure Slopes Less Than 1 – w/o Non Darcy Flow	<a href="#">OHF3D_Superposition Analysis.wmv</a>	1 m 25 s
3D Graph of Herrington Perm Variation	<a href="#">OHF3D_3D Graph Herrington.wmv</a>	1 m 20 s
3D Graph of Krider Perm Variation	<a href="#">OHF3D_3D Graph Krider.wmv</a>	1 m 10 s
3D Graph of Winfield Perm Variation	<a href="#">OHF3D_3D Graph Winfield.wmv</a>	0 m 31 s
Detailed Distribution of Reservoir Data	<a href="#">OHF3D_Detailed Distribution.wmv</a>	0 m 31 s
BUF #3 (Key Well) p/Z Plot & Match	<a href="#">OHF3D_BUF 3 Key Well pZ Plot.wmv</a>	3 m 35 s

BUF #3 Well Deliverability Plot	<a href="#">OHF3D_BUF 3 Well Deliverability Plot.wmv</a>	1 m 8 s
Replacement Well (Strat 1,2) p/Z Plot	<a href="#">OHF3D_Replacement Well pZ Plot.wmv</a>	0 m 56 s
BUF #3 p/Z Plot	<a href="#">OHF3D_BUF 3 pZ Plot.wmv</a>	1 m 39 s
Unique Match of Layer Pressures	<a href="#">OHF3D_Unique Match.wmv</a>	4 m 47 s
Strat Well Deliverability Plot	<a href="#">OHF3D_Strat Well Deliverability Plot.wmv</a>	0 m 45 s
Match Plot p/Z for All Wells in Study Area	<a href="#">OHF3D_Match Plot pZ All Wells.wmv</a>	0 m 50 s
Deliverability Match All Wells in Study Area	<a href="#">OHF3D_Deliverability Match All Wells.wmv</a>	1 m 45 s
p/Z Match Plot for Case Where Section Lines Have Been Sealed – A single well study is misleading	<a href="#">OHF3D_pZ Match Plot.wmv</a>	1 m 23 s
Deliverability Match Plot with Section Lines Have Been Sealed – Not a Point to Point Match	<a href="#">OHF3D_Deliverability Match Plot-Sealed.wmv</a>	0 m 44 s
Sensitivity Case $K_v/K_n = 0.05$ p/Z Plot Indicated Complete Crossflow	<a href="#">OHF3D_Sensitivity Case.wmv</a>	0 m 37 s
Deliverability Match for $K_v/K_n = 0.05$	<a href="#">OHF3D_Deliverability Match for <math>K_v/K_n</math>.wmv</a>	0 m 20 s
p/Z Match with Crossflow. Needed to throw away 20% of volume	<a href="#">OHF3D_pZ Match with Crossflow.wmv</a>	0 m 44 s
Deliverability Match 20% Volume Reduction Didn't Match Layer Differential Pressures	<a href="#">OHF3D_Deliverability Match 20.wmv</a>	1 m 21 s
Table Listing Economic Rates – Long Life	<a href="#">OHF3D_Table Listing Economic Rates.wmv</a>	3 m 31 s
Press Distribution in Herrington - Simulated	<a href="#">OHF3D_Press Dist - Herrington.wmv</a>	1 m 7 s
Press Distribution in Krider - Simulated	<a href="#">OHF3D_Press Dist - Krider.wmv</a>	0 m 30 s
Press Distribution in Winfield - Simulated	<a href="#">OHF3D_Press Dist - Winfield.wmv</a>	0 m 24 s
Summary of Model Results	<a href="#">OHF3D_Summary of Model Results.wmv</a>	1 m 23 s
Table Showing Life for Wide Open Production	<a href="#">OHF3D_Table Showing Life.wmv</a>	2 m 7 s
Table Showing Time to Abandonment	<a href="#">OHF3D_Table Showing Time.wmv</a>	1 m 2 s
Rate-Time Plot – Decline After Constant Rate Period	<a href="#">OHF3D_Rate-Time Plot.wmv</a>	1 m 57 s
Texas Hugoton Example Supporting Previous Slide	<a href="#">OHF3D_Texas Hugoton Example.wmv</a>	0 m 21 s



## General Layered No Crossflow Cases

Handwritten Reconditioning Report 11/25/1955	<a href="#">GLNCC_Handwritten Reconditioning.wmv</a>	3 m 43 s
4 in 1 Plot – Morrow Well Well Log – Wall Well 9G	<a href="#">GLNCC_4 in 1 Plot – Morrow Well.wmv</a>	2 m 48 s
Cross Section – Schematics Layers are Continuous, Properties May Vary	<a href="#">GLNCC_Cross Section – Schematics.wmv</a>	2 m 45 s
Means San Andres Unit – Production Graph	<a href="#">GLNCC_Means San Andres Unit.wmv</a>	1 m 9 s
Plot of Continuity Progression – Did Not Recognize Layered No Crossflow Behavior	<a href="#">GLNCC_Plot of Continuity Progression.wmv</a>	2 m 3 s
Ghost River Unit - Map	<a href="#">GLNCC_Ghost River Unit Map.wmv</a>	0 m 50 s
Ghost River Well / Reservoir Data	<a href="#">GLNCC_Ghost River Well Reservoir data.wmv</a>	0 m 27 s
Ghost River Production Forecast – AFE With Sophisticated Risk Analysis	<a href="#">GLNCC_Ghost River Production Forecast.wmv</a>	1 m 57 s
Deep High Press Condensate Near Chatom Field has been Cycled. If history matched model was used to predict performance against a constant wellbore press = $\gamma_b = .7$	<a href="#">GLNCC_Deep High Press Condensate.wmv</a>	1 m 20 s
Chatom Unit – p/Z Plot	<a href="#">GLNCC_Chatom Unit pZ Plot.wmv</a>	1 m 40 s
Updated Performance Plot – From Red Cave	<a href="#">GLNCC_Updated Performance Plot.wmv</a>	0 m 55 s
Kroth Air Well – Well Log – Texas Hugoton	<a href="#">GLNCC_Kroth Air Well.wmv</a>	1 m 3 s
Table Showing Sidetrack Deliverabilities No Areal Variation At All	<a href="#">GLNCC_Table Showing Sidetrack.wmv</a>	2 m 46 s
APX Elliot #1 – MHF – Poor Work	<a href="#">GLNCC_APX Elliot 1.wmv</a>	0 m 55 s
High Pressure Gas Well High Pressure Expect $b=0$	<a href="#">GLNCC_High Pressure Gas Well.wmv</a>	1 m 23 s
Isobaric Maps – Guymon Hugoton	<a href="#">GLNCC_Isobaric Maps.wmv</a>	3 m 7 s

## Exercises

Introduction to Exercises	<a href="#">Exercise_Introduction.wmv</a>	6 m 25 s
Exercise – Forecast of Layered System – Gas Well	<a href="#">Exercise_Forecast of Layered System.wmv</a>	21 m 13 s

Exercise Calculate Cum. Production and p/Z – at End of Five Years	<a href="#">Exercise Calculate Cum Production.wmv</a>	8 m 56 s
---	---	----------

Exercise Calculate Crossflow Case Production Forecast and p/Z and Cum. After Five Years	<a href="#">Exercise Calculate Crossflow.wmv</a>	9 m 59 s
---	--	----------

**[Tape 6](#)** Click here to watch the entire Fetkovich Course from beginning to end of Tape 6. 1 h 4 m 28 s

<b>Subject Title</b>	<b>Video File Name</b>	<b>Time</b>
<b>Exercises (Continued)</b>		
Exercise to Appreciate $Q_{max}/G_i$ Ratio	<a href="#">Exercise Qmax Gi Ratio.wmv</a>	16 m 13 s
INFILL DRILL RESPONSE	<a href="#">Exercise INFILL DRILL RESPONSE.wmv</a>	35 m 27 s
Production Forecast For Ten Acre Spacings	<a href="#">Exercise Production Forecast.wmv</a>	7 m 42 s

**The End**