





laboratoire #mécanique ##acoustiq



INTERFACE FRESNEL ZONE FOR A CURVED REFLECTOR IN ANISOTROPIC MEDIA

Nathalie FAVRETTO-CRISTINI, Bjørn URSIN & Paul CRISTINI





Rose Meeting - Trondheim (Norway) - May 5, 2014



The Interface Fresnel zone concept

The **Fresnel volume** concept plays an important role in seismic exploration.

(Hagedoorn 1954 Geophys. Prospect. Kravtsov & Orlov 1990 Červený 2001)

The Interface Fresnel zone (IFZ)



- region of constructive reflection interference surrounding the reflection point of the geometrical ray
- largely contributes to the formation of the reflection and transmission wavefields at an observation point, and more specifically to their amplitude (Spetzler & Snieder 2004 Geophys. J. Int., Favretto-Cristini et al. 2007 Geophys. J. Int.)
- determines the lateral resolving power for unmigrated seismic data with which important lithological changes along a seismic profile direction may be observed (Sheriff 1980 Geophysics)



 Analytical and numerical modeling techniques used to determine the IFZ dimensions in various configurations (geometric approaches, ray tracing, isochrons ...)

```
(Gelchinsky 1985 J. Geophys., Hubral et al. 1993 Geophysics
Lindsey 1989 The Leading Edge
Kvasnička & Červený 1996 Stud. Geophys. Geod.
Pulliam & Snieder 1998 Geophys. J. Int.
Červený 2001, Moser & Červený 2007 Geophys. Prospect.
Iversen 2006 Geophysics
Favretto-Cristini et al. 2009 Geophysics
Monk 2010 Geophysics
```

- Most studies concerned with zero-offset configurations and plane reflectors
- Few works devoted to P-SV reflections and/or anisotropic media (VSP configurations, dipping reflector...)

(Eaton et al. 1991 Geophysics, Okoye & Uren 2000 Geophysics ...)



Context & Aim (2/2)



Transversely Isotropic (TI) media with symmetry axis orthogonal to the curved reflector

- (Possibly converted) reflected and transmitted waves
- Non-spherically shaped interface between homogeneous anisotropic media

Ursin, Favretto-Cristini & Cristini To appear in Geophysics in 2014 Address the issue of deriving simple expressions for the IFZ for multi-offset configurations and a curved interface between general anisotropic media

Generalization of the work reported in *Favretto-Cristini et al. 2009 Geophysics*



Rose Meeting – Trondheim (Norway) – May 5, 2014



The FV is defined by

xR

d⊺

VT

n

$$\left|\delta T\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{S}}, \, \delta \mathbf{x}\right) + \delta T\left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{U}}, \, \delta \mathbf{x}\right)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2f} \quad (U = R, \, T)$$

f : central frequency of the signal



with the traveltime difference δT between the source (respectively, receiver) point and the reflection point

$$\delta T(\mathbf{x}, \, \delta \mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{x}, \, \delta \mathbf{x}) - T(\mathbf{x}, \, 0) = \frac{1}{V + \delta V} \|\mathbf{x} - \delta \mathbf{x}\| - \frac{1}{V} \|\mathbf{x}\|$$



$$\delta T \left(\mathbf{V}, \, \delta \mathbf{x} \right) \simeq \frac{1}{2 \, V \, d} \left[\| \delta \mathbf{x} \|^2 - 2 \, d \, \frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}}{V} - \left(\frac{\mathbf{V} \cdot \delta \mathbf{x}}{V} \right)^2 \right]$$

Rose Meeting – Trondheim (Norway) – May 5, 2014



A curved interface Σ may locally be approximated by a 2nd-order expression

$$x_3 = F(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2} (x_1, x_2) \mathbf{F} (x_1, x_2)^t = \frac{1}{2} (F_{11} x_1^2 + 2 F_{12} x_1 x_2 + F_{22} x_2^2)$$

 F_{11} , F_{12} and F_{22} : interface curvatures

For the FZ at the interface : $\delta x_3 = F(\delta x_1, \delta x_2)$

Approximation for δT (keeping terms up to 2nd order)

$$\delta T_{\Sigma} \left(\mathbf{V}, \, \delta x_1, \, \delta x_2 \right) \simeq \frac{1}{2 \, V \, d} \left[\left(1 - \frac{V_1^2}{V^2} \right) \, \delta x_1^2 + \left(1 - \frac{V_2^2}{V^2} \right) \, \delta x_2^2 - 2 \, \frac{V_1 \, V_2}{V^2} \, \delta x_1 \, \delta x_2 \right] \\ - \frac{V_1}{V^2} \, \delta x_1 - \frac{V_2}{V^2} \, \delta x_2 - F \left(\delta x_1 \, , \, \delta x_2 \right) \, \frac{V_3}{V^2}$$

The **IFZ** is defined by

$$\left|\delta T_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{S}},\,\delta x_{1},\,\delta x_{2}\right)+\delta T_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbf{V}^{\mathbf{U}},\,\delta x_{1},\,\delta x_{2}\right)\right|\leq\frac{1}{2\,f}\quad\left(U=R,\,T
ight)$$



Specific case : DTI media

Dip-constrained Transversely Isotropic (DTI) media = local VTI media

- Curved interface between 2 DTI media
 - SH wave and coupled P-S waves



all seismic signatures depend only on the angle between the propagation direction and the symmetry axis
 V₂ = 0 , p₂ = 0 , x₂ = 0

Approximation for
$$\delta T$$

$$\delta T_{\Sigma} \left(\mathbf{V}, \, \delta x_1, \, \delta x_2 \right) \simeq \frac{1}{2 \, V \, d} \left[\left(1 - \frac{V_1^2}{V^2} \right) \, \delta x_1^2 + \delta x_2^2 \right] - \frac{V_1}{V^2} \, \delta x_1 - F \left(\delta x_1 \, , \, \delta x_2 \right) \, \frac{V_3}{V^2}$$

where F defines the interface curvatures, $d = \left[(x_1)^2 + (x_3)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\left(V = \left(V_1^2 + V_3^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$



Explicit expressions for V_1 and V_3 for P-SV waves in *Tsvankin 2001, Chapman 2004*

... preferable to use them in actual modeling, inversion and processing algorithms

Goal : analytic insight into the effects of anisotropy on the IFZ

Approximate dispersion relation (Pestana et al. 2012 J. Geophys. Eng.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for P-waves}: \qquad \omega^2 &= v_{P0}^2 \, \left[(1+2\,\epsilon) \, k_1^2 + k_3^2 \right] - 2 \, \frac{v_{P0}^2 \, \left(\epsilon - \delta\right) \, k_1^2 \, k_3^2}{k_3^2 + \xi \, k_1^2} \\ \text{for SV-waves}: \qquad \omega^2 &= v_{S0}^2 \, \left(k_1^2 + k_3^2 \right) + 2 \, \frac{v_{P0}^2 \, \left(\epsilon - \delta\right) \, k_1^2 \, k_3^2}{k_3^2 + \xi \, k_1^2} \\ \text{with} \quad k_{1,3} = \omega \, p_{1,3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} v_{P0} = \left(\frac{c_{33}}{\rho}\right)^{1/2} & \text{(Thomsen 1986 Geophysics)} \\ v_{S0} = \left(\frac{c_{44}}{\rho}\right)^{1/2} & \text{and} \quad \xi = 1 + 2\epsilon \frac{v_{P0}^2}{v_{P0}^2 - v_{S0}^2} \\ \epsilon = \frac{c_{11} - c_{33}}{2 c_{33}} & \delta = \frac{(c_{13} + c_{44})^2 - (c_{33} - c_{44})^2}{2 c_{33} (c_{33} - c_{44})} & \text{eim (Norway) - May 5, 2014} \end{cases}$$

where



From
$$V_i = -rac{\partial \,\Omega / \partial \, k_i}{\partial \,\Omega / \partial \, \omega}$$
 , we get :

$$\begin{cases} \text{for P-waves} & \text{for SV-waves} \\ V_{P1} = v_{P0}^2 p_1 \left[(1+2\epsilon) - 2(\epsilon-\delta) \chi \right] \\ V_{P3} = v_{P0}^2 p_3 \left[1 - 2(\epsilon-\delta) \chi' \right] \\ \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} V_{S1} = v_{S0}^2 p_1 \left[1 + 2\sigma \chi \right] \\ V_{S3} = v_{S0}^2 p_3 \left[1 + 2\sigma \chi' \right] \\ V_{S3} = v_{S0}^2 p_3 \left[1 + 2\sigma \chi' \right] \\ \downarrow \\ V_{S3} = v_{S0}^2 p_3 \left[1 + 2\sigma \chi' \right] \\ \downarrow \\ \chi' = \frac{\epsilon p_1^4}{(p_3^2 + \epsilon p_1^2)^2} \\ \chi' = \frac{\epsilon p_1^4}{(p_3^2 + \epsilon p_1^2)^2} \end{cases} \text{ and } \sigma = \frac{v_{P0}^2}{v_{S0}^2} (\epsilon-\delta)$$

The difference $\varepsilon - \delta$

- governs both P- and SV-wave propagation for non-vertical wave propagation (*Pestana et al. 2012 J. Geophys. Eng.*)
- controls the shape and the size of the IFZ for both P-P and P-SV reflections

N.B. : The parameter $\eta = (\varepsilon - \delta) / (1 + 2\delta)$, introduced by Alkhalifah & Tsvankin (1995 Geophysics), governs such P-wave signatures (Tsvankin 2001), as dip-dependent NMO velocity, nonhyperbolic reflection moveout, time-migration impulse response, the point-source radiation pattern.



- Focus on the wave reflection at a curved interface
- Shape and size of the IFZ for P-P and P-SV reflections for various anisotropic parameters, incidence angles, and interface curvatures
- Incidence medium :

Brine-saturated shales
(Wang 2002 Geophysics)
$$\rho = 2 597 \text{ kg/m}^3$$

 $\upsilon_{P0} = 4 409 \text{ m/s}$
 $\upsilon_{S0} = 2 688 \text{ m/s}$
 $\epsilon = 0.110 \text{ and } \delta = -0.043$ ($\epsilon - \delta = 0.153$)

- S and R located at 3000 m from the plane tangent to the interface at the reflection point
- Frequency of the incident P-wave : 25 Hz
- 3 kinds of curved reflectors :
 - Anticline ($R_1 = +5000 \text{ m}, R_2 = +4000 \text{ m}$)
 - Syncline (R₁ = 5000 m, R₂ = 4000 m)
 - Saddle (R₁ = 5000 m, R₂ = + 4000 m)

 $\begin{cases} F_{11} = \frac{1}{R_1} \cos^2 \phi + \frac{1}{R_2} \sin^2 \phi \\ F_{12} = \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right) \cos \phi \sin \phi \\ F_{22} = \frac{1}{R_1} \sin^2 \phi + \frac{1}{R_2} \cos^2 \phi \end{cases}$

```
(Stavroudis 1972)
```

Rose Meeting – Trondheim (Norway) – May 5, 2014

Interface Fresnel zone for PP reflected waves (1/2)

Variation in shape and size of the IFZ in anisotropic (solid line) and isotropic (dashed line) media as a function of incidence angle θ : θ = 0 (dark blue), θ = 20° (green), θ = 35° (red), and θ = 50° (light blue). The size of the IFZ is normalized with respect to the incident P-wavelength for θ = 0.



Interface Fresnel zone for PP reflected waves (2/2)



Rotation of the principal curvature axes of the interface by 20° with respect to the x_1 -axis Stationary points of hyperbolic type



Interface Fresnel zone for PS reflected waves (1/2)

Variation in shape and size of the IFZ in anisotropic (solid line) and isotropic (dashed line) media as a function of incidence angle θ : θ = 0 (dark blue), θ = 20° (green), and θ = 35° (red).

The size of the IFZ is normalized with respect to the incident P-wavelength for θ = 0 .

Interface Fresnel zone for PS reflected waves (2/2)

- Derivation of analytic expressions, based on traveltime approximations, to evaluate the shape and the size of the IFZ for P-P and P-S reflected or transmitted waves by a curved reflector between two homogeneous anisotropic media
- Focus on local VTI media
- The size and the shape of the IFZ for reflected waves
 - predominantly dependent on the curvatures of the isochrons together with the curvatures of the interface.
 - exhibit large variation with interface curvature and incidence angle. See the IFZ for the syncline- and the saddle-type reflectors.
 - effects much more pronounced for positive values of $\varepsilon \delta ==>$ The difference between the Thomsen parameters ε and δ also controls the shape and size of the IFZ for both P-P and P-S reflections.
- The spatial resolution of unmigrated seismic data in anisotropic media with curved interface is significantly different from that determined for the same configuration for isotropic models and a planar interface.

Rock SEismic Project

