# Bandwidth enhancement: Inverse Q filtering or time-varying Wiener deconvolution?

#### Mirko van der Baan

University of Alberta, Canada



#### **Inverse Q deconvolution**

- Seismic data always suffer from
  - Attenuation ~ Freq-dependent amplitude decay
  - Dispersion ~ Freq-dependent velocities
- Required inverse Q corrections:
  - Amplitudes ~ regeneration of lost energy
  - Phase ~ make zero-phase

#### **Attenuation and dispersion**

• Forward propagation of Dirac (spike)



#### **Desired inverse Q corrections**

Do reverse propagation of Dirac (spike)?



## **Inverse Q filtering**

- Inverse Q filtering:
  - Replace time sign in any propagation module
- Process implemented via wavefield extrapolation (Hargreaves and Calvert, 1994)
  - Rationale: Migrate arrival to t=0
  - New implementation based on short-time Fourier transform (Van der Baan, Bliss 7, 2011)
    - Sliding window extracts signal
    - Amplitude (attenuation) and/or phase (dispersion) corrections feasible
    - STFT = Faster since less samples involved

## **Inverse Q filtering**

- Practicalities:
  - Forward propagation:
    - Attenuation and dispersion = inherently stable
  - Reversed propagation:
    - Attenuation corrections = unstable (regeneration of energy)
    - Dispersion corrections = inherently stable
    - General approach: include amplitude damping factor => limited energy amplification

#### Inv Q correction (attenuation + dispersion)

• Phase + unlimited amplitude correction: Unstable



#### Inv Q correction (dispersion only)

• Phase-only correction: Make zero phase = stable



#### Inv Q correction (attenuation + dispersion)

Phase + limited amplitude correction: Stable



#### Inv Q correction (attenuation + dispersion)

Inv Q amplitude corrections: Noise amplification



#### Inv Q correction (dispersion)

Inv Q phase corrections: No noise amplification



#### **Fundamental concerns**

Inv Q amplitude corrections:

•Amplifies all frequencies

- No wavelet bandwidth information
- No natural balance resolution enhancement vs noise amplification
- Bandpass filtering needed after corrections

•Requires Q factor

• Likely nonstationary Q

#### **Suggested combination**

#### (1) Inverse Q filtering for dispersion corrections

- Unconditionally stable
- But does require Q (+/- 33-50%)

(2) Amplitude-only time-varying Wiener filtering

- Whitens data only within passband (= wavelet bandwidth)
- Inherent trade-off signal recovery and noise amplification
- Wavelet estimated via spectral averaging and sliding window

# TV Wiener filtering after dispersion correction

• Time-varying Wiener : Little noise amplification



#### Inv Q correction (attenuation + dispersion)

Inv Q amplitude corrections: Noise amplification



- Original:
  - Marine w known phase issues





- Dispersion-corrected:
  - No noise enhancement
  - More zero-phase (symmetric waveforms)



Data courtesy: Shell



- Dispersion + Wiener:
  - No noise enhancement
  - Bandwidth improvement => Higher resolution



Data courtesy: Shell

= 150

- Ampl + phase inv Q:
  - Best resolution enhancement of few reflectors
  - But strong noise enhancement elsewhere



Data courtesy: Shell

Max ampl multiplication factor = 5.

Q = 150

#### Conclusions

- Inverse Q filtering:
  - Replace time sign in any propagation module
  - Forward propagation:
    - Attenuation and dispersion = inherently stable
  - Reversed propagation:
    - Attenuation corrections = unstable (recreation of energy)
    - Dispersion corrections = inherently stable

#### Conclusions

- Alternative:
  - Combine phase-only inverse Q filtering + time-varying Wiener filtering
  - Phase-only inverse Q filtering:
    - Corrects for dispersion (= inherently stable)
  - Nonstationary Wiener filtering
    - Whitens data only within passband (= wavelet bandwidth)
    - Natural balance resolution enhancement vs noise amplification

#### Acknowledgments

Shell: For permission to show the data

#### BLind Identification of Seismic Signals (BLISS) is supported by

