

Kinematical parameters in 2D TTI media

Pavel Golikov and Alexey Stovas

ROSE Meeting

May 2nd, 2011

Outline

Theory

TTI traveltime parameters

Weak-anisotropy approximation

Inversion

Case with known tilt Surface seismic with check-shots Crosswell survey

Tilted TI media

		×
VII media	ĺ	
	ixis	
	N S	
	met	
	ym	
	0	
zV		

www.ntnu.no

Tilted TI media



The symmetry axis of transversely-isotropic (TI) media can be not only vertical (VTI) or horizontal (HTI) but also tilted (TTI).

Slowness surface rotation



Slowness surfaces for VTI and TTI (tilt is 30°) media.

VTI slowness surface in acoustic approximation

$$q'_{P}^{2} - \frac{1}{v_{0}^{2}} \frac{1 - (1 + b_{0})(1 + a_{0})p'^{2}v_{0}^{2}}{1 - b_{0}(1 + a_{0})p'^{2}v_{0}^{2}} = 0$$

The rotation operator is defined as

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} p'\\ q'\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} p\\ q\end{array}\right)$$

where

 v_0 is the symmetry-direction velocity, $a_0 = 2\delta$, $b_0 = 2\eta = 2(\epsilon - \delta)/(1 + 2\delta)$ q and p are vertical and horizontal slowness, respectively

Convenient parametrization:

$$R = \cos^{2} \theta + (1 + a_{0})(1 + b_{0}) \sin^{2} \theta$$

= $\cos^{2} \theta + \frac{v_{nmo}^{2}}{v_{0}^{2}}(1 + 2\eta) \sin^{2} \theta$

www.ntnu.no

Convenient parametrization:

$$R = \cos^{2} \theta + (1 + a_{0})(1 + b_{0}) \sin^{2} \theta$$

= $\cos^{2} \theta + \frac{v_{nmo}^{2}}{v_{0}^{2}}(1 + 2\eta) \sin^{2} \theta$

$$Q = b_0(1 + a_0) \sin^2 2\theta$$
$$= 2\eta \frac{v_{amo}^2}{v_0^2} \sin^2 2\theta$$

$$t_0 = \frac{z}{v_0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{R + \sqrt{R^2 - Q}}}$$

$$x_0 = \frac{z}{\sqrt{R^2 - Q}} \left((R - 1) \cot \theta - \frac{Q \cot 2\theta}{R + \sqrt{R^2 - Q}} \right)$$

$$v_{nmo}^2 = v_0^2(1+a_0) rac{\left(R + \sqrt{R^2 - Q}
ight) \left(2R - \sqrt{R^2 - Q}
ight)}{2(R^2 - Q)^{3/2}}$$

$$t_0 = \frac{z}{v_0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{R + \sqrt{R^2 - Q}}}$$

$$x_0 = rac{z}{\sqrt{R^2 - Q}} \left((R - 1) \cot heta - rac{Q \cot 2 heta}{R + \sqrt{R^2 - Q}}
ight)$$

$$v_{nmo}^2 = v_0^2(1+a_0) \frac{\left(R + \sqrt{R^2 - Q}\right) \left(2R - \sqrt{R^2 - Q}\right)}{2(R^2 - Q)^{3/2}}$$

0.60 0.55 0.50

www.ntnu.no

$$S_{2} = 1 + 8 \frac{b_{0}(R^{2} - Q)F_{1} - QF_{2}}{\sqrt{R^{2} - Q}\left(R + \sqrt{R^{2} - Q}\right)\left(2R - \sqrt{R^{2} - Q}\right)^{2}}$$

www.ntnu.no

$$S_{2} = 1 + 8 \frac{b_{0}(R^{2} - Q)F_{1} - QF_{2}}{\sqrt{R^{2} - Q}\left(R + \sqrt{R^{2} - Q}\right)\left(2R - \sqrt{R^{2} - Q}\right)^{2}}$$

where

$$F_{1} = R^{2} + Q \frac{3R + \sqrt{R^{2} - Q}}{R + \sqrt{R^{2} - Q}}$$
$$F_{2} = 2R^{2} + Q \frac{3R + \sqrt{R^{2} - Q}}{R + \sqrt{R^{2} - Q}}$$

Special cases

$$\begin{array}{ccc} VTI(\theta = 0^{\circ}) & HTI(\theta = 90^{\circ}) & ETI(b_0 = 0) \end{array}$$

$$t_0 = \frac{2z}{v_0} & t_0 = \frac{2z}{v_0\sqrt{(1+a_0)(1+b_0)}} & t_0 = \frac{2z}{v_0\sqrt{1+a_0\sin^2\theta}} \\ x_0 = 0 & x_0 = 0 & x_0 = \frac{za_0\sin\theta\cos\theta}{1+a_0\sin^2\theta} \\ v_{nmo}^2 = v_0^2(1+a_0) & v_{nmo}^2 = \frac{v_0^2}{1+b_0} & v_{nmo}^2 = \frac{v_0^2(1+a_0)}{1+a_0\sin^2\theta} \\ S_2 = 1+4b_0 & S_2 = 1+4b_0 & S_2 = 1 \end{array}$$

Reflection point sideslip



one-way traveltimes for different tilts

www.ntnu.no

Reflection point sideslip



one-way traveltimes for different tilts



 x_0 vs. a_0 and tilt θ ($b_0 = 0$)

Weak-anisotropy approximation

Assuming $|a_0| \ll 1$ and $|b_0| \ll 1$ we obtain:

$$t_0^2 \approx \frac{z^2}{v_0^2} \left(1 - a_0 \sin^2 \theta - b_0 \sin^4 \theta \right)$$

$$x_0 \approx z \cos \theta \sin \theta (a_0 + 2b_0 \sin^2 \theta)$$

$$v_{nmo}^2 pprox v_0^2(1+a_0\cos^2 heta+b_0\sin^2 heta(6-7\sin^2 heta))$$

$$S_2 \approx 1 + 4b_0 \cos 4\theta$$

Weak-anisotropy approximation



Inversion of the traveltime parameters

We consider three cases:

- surface seismic with known tilt θ
- surface seismic with known symmetry-direction velocity v_0
- cross-well survey with one-way traveltime parameters

Inversion of the traveltime parameters

We consider three cases:

- surface seismic with known tilt θ
- surface seismic with known symmetry-direction velocity v_0
- cross-well survey with one-way traveltime parameters

The model parameters are:

- z = 1 km, $v_0 = 2$ km/s, $a_0 = 0.2$, $b_0 = 0.4$ (Model 1),
- z = 1 km, $v_0 = 2 km/s$, $a_0 = 0.1$, $b_0 = 0.2$ (Model 2).

Case with known tilt



Known parameters: — reflection depth z— tilt θ

Estimated parameters: — t_0 , v_{nmo}^2 , S_2

Inverted parameters:

- anisotropic parameters a_0 and b_0
- symmetry-direction velocity v₀

Results of the inversion



Surface seismic with check-shots



Known parameters: — reflection depth *z* — symmetry-direction velocity *v*₀

Estimated parameters:

 $- t_0, v_{nmo}^2, S_2$

Inverted parameters:

— tilt θ

anisotropic parameters a₀ and b₀

Results of the inversion



www.ntnu.no

Crosswell survey



Known parameters: — reflection depth z

Estimated parameters: — t_0 , v_{nmo}^2 , S_2 and x_0

Inverted parameters:

— tilt θ

- anisotropic parameters a_0 and b_0
- symmetry-direction velocity v₀

Results of the inversion



Exact and WA-approximation traveltime parameters in TTI media are defined

- Exact and WA-approximation traveltime parameters in TTI media are defined
- Inversion of traveltime parameters in WA approximation performed for three scenarios:

- Exact and WA-approximation traveltime parameters in TTI media are defined
- Inversion of traveltime parameters in WA approximation performed for three scenarios:
 - tilt is known: the inversion is not defined for specific tilts $\cos 4\theta = 0$

- Exact and WA-approximation traveltime parameters in TTI media are defined
- Inversion of traveltime parameters in WA approximation performed for three scenarios:
 - tilt is known: the inversion is not defined for specific tilts $\cos 4\theta = 0$
 - other scenarios: inversion for anisotropic parameters is unstable, but inversion for v₀ is good for θ ∈ [0, π/3]

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the NFR via the ROSE project for financial support.

Thank you!