Blended shot migration with an inverse source

B. Arntsen, NTNU

ROSE meeting MAY 3, Trondheim

OVERVIEW

- Introduction
- True-amplitude shot-profile migration (Arntsen and Amundsen, 2010, Arntsen et al. 2010)
- Migration with blended shots
- Conclusions





BLENDED SHOTS



(Beasley et al. 1998, Sava 2007, Verschuur and Berkhout, 2011)

OVERVIEW

- Introduction
- True-amplitude shot-profile migration
- Migration with blended shots
- Conclusions

IMAGING CONDITION

Reflection matrix Horisontal Wavenumber Frequency $U(k_r, z, \omega) = \int dk_s R(k_r, k_s, z, \omega) D(k_s, z, \omega)$ Depth $R(k_r, k_s, z, \omega) = \sum U(k_r, z, \omega) D'^*(k_s, z, \omega)$ shots Source signature

$$D^{'*}(k_s, z, \omega) = \int dk'_s \underbrace{\exp[ik_z(k_s, k'_s)z)]}_{\text{Extrapolator}} \left\{ \frac{S(\omega)}{2ik_z(k_s')} \right\}$$

IMAGING CONDITION

$$R(x_m, h, z, \omega) = \sum_{shots} U(x_m + h, z, \omega) \underbrace{D^{'*}(x_m - h, z, \omega)}_{\text{Modified downgoing wavefield}}$$

$$R(x_{m}, p_{h}, z) = \int d\omega \int dh \exp(i\omega p_{h}h) R(x_{m}, h, z, \omega)$$
(De Bruin, 1990)
(De Bruin, 1990)
$$R(x_{m}, \phi, \alpha) = R[x_{m}, p_{h}(\phi, \alpha)]$$
(De Deruin, 1990)
(De Deruin, 1990)
(De Deruin, 1990)

DIP CORRECTION



$$r_A(\phi) \propto R(\phi, \alpha) \left[\frac{\cos(\phi + \alpha)}{\cos(\phi - \alpha) + \cos(\phi + \alpha)} \right]$$

Plane layer Reflection coefficient

DENSITY CONTRAST



DENSITY CONTRAST

New imaging condition Amplitude picks



CONVENTIONAL IMAGING

Conventional cross-correlation

Amplitude picks



GULLFAKS MODEL

25-40 degrees



GULLFAKS AVA



OVERVIEW

- Introduction
- True-amplitude shot-profile migration
- Migration with blended shots
- Conclusions

BLENDED SHOTS



- Shot processing time recuced by 50% for two blended shots
- Shot processing time reduced by factor of N for N blended shots

IMAGING CONDITION BLENDED SHOTS

$$R(x_{m}, h, z, \omega) = \sum_{shots} U_{Blended}(x_{m} + h, z, \omega) D^{**}(x_{m} - h, z, \omega)$$

$$D^{**}(k_{s}, z = 0, \omega) = \left(\frac{S(\omega)}{2ik_{z}(k_{s})}\right)^{-1} \underbrace{\frac{\int_{c} S_{cross-talk-correction}}{\int_{cross-talk-correction}}}_{No of shots}$$

$$R(x_m, p_h, z) = \int d\omega \int dh \exp(i\omega p_h h) R(x_m, h, z, \omega)$$
$$R(x_m, \phi, \alpha) = R[x_m, p_h(\phi, \alpha)]$$

CROSS-TALK SPECTRUM



DENSITY CONTRAST



AVA 2 BLENDED SHOTS



2 BLENDED SHOTS NO CROSS-TALK CORRECTION COMPUTATIONAL SPEED-UP FACTOR: 2 2 BLENDED SHOTS CROSS-TALK CORRECTION COMPUTATIONAL SPEED-UP FACTOR: 2

AVA 8 BLENDED SHOTS



8 BLENDED SHOTS CROSS-TALK CORRECTION COMPUTATIONAL SPEED-UP FACTOR: 8

8 BLENDED SHOTS NO CROSS-TALK CORRECTION COMPUTATIONAL SPEED-UP FACTOR: 8

AVA 8 BLENDED SHOTS WITH 20 % NOISE



8 BLENDED SHOTS NO CROSS-TALK CORRECTION COMPUTATIONAL SPEED-UP FACTOR: 8

8 BLENDED SHOTS WITH 20% NOISE CROSS-TALK CORRECTION COMPUTATIONAL SPEED-UP FACTOR: 8



AVA GATHERS (201 shots)



No cross-talk correction With cross-talk With cross-talk correction correction

CONCLUSION

- Use of the inverse source idea is a simple way to correct for cross-talk effects in blended shot-profile migration
- Substantial reduction (2-8 times) in compute time for true-amplitude shot migration possible in exchange for tolerable quality reduction of AVA gathers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Norwegian Research Council for financial support
- Statoil for financial support